© Government of Kerala കേരള സർക്കാർ 2010



Reg. No. രജി. നമ്പർ KL/TV(N)/12/2009-2011

KERALA GAZETTE കേരള ഗസററ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55

THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUES-DAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ 26th January 2010 2010 ജനുവരി 26 6th Magha 1931

1931 മാഘം 6

No. നമ്പർ

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Chief Secretary.

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G. O. (Rt.) No.1897/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. Shri P. M. Davis, (Receiver and Partner, St. Cyriac Tile Works), Paliyekkara House, Ollur P. O., 2. Shri V. I. Kuruvila, (Partner, St. Cyriac Tile Works) c/o C. P. Jose, Chirayathu House, Marathakara P. O., Thrissur District, 3. Smt. V. I. Kikkili, (Partner, St. Cyriac Tile Works) w/o C. P. Jose, Chirayathu House, Marathakara P. O., Thrissur District, 4. Smt. Baby, (Partner, St. Cyriac Tile Works), w/o P. M. Davis, Paliyekkara House, Ollur P. O. and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Ollur Mekhala Ottu Company Thozhilali Union

(CITU), Avinissery P. O., Thrissur-680 313 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the work stoppage and consequent denial of employment to the workers of St. Cyriac Tile Works, Marathakara is justifiable?
- 2. If not what relief they are entitled to get ?

(2)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1898/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Malabar Cements Limited, Walayar, Palakkad and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri E. Nazar, Ilavana House, Iringalloor, G u r u v a y o o r a p p a n College P. O., Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of the worker Shri E. Nazar by the Management of Malabar Cements Limited, Walayar is justifiable? If not what relief he is entitled to ?.

(3)

G. O. (Rt.) No.1899/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between 1. Shri Narayanan Kaimal,

Thengincherry House, Thanikkudam, Kurichikkara P. O., Thrissur District, 2. Smt. Lakshmikutty w/o Narayanan Kaimal, Thengincherry House, Thanikkudam, Kurichikkara P. O., Thrissur District and the workmen of the above referred establishment Smt. Geetha Mohanan w/o. Mohanan, Pullolickal House, Manalithara, Kolathassery, Thalappilly, Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947), the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the denial of employment to Smt. Geetha Mohanan, Tapper by the estate owners Shri Narayanan Kaimal and Mrs. Lakshmikutty Narayanan Kaimal, Thengincherry House, Thanikkudam P. O., Thrissur is justifiable?
- 2. If not what relief she is entitled to get?

(4)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1902/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Senior Manager, Manalaroo Estate, Nelliyampathy, Padagiri P. O., Palakkad and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Kerala Plantation Workers Union (CITU), Nelliyampathy P. O., Palakkad in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

Annexure

Whether the denial of employment to workers Shri Veer Raj (PF No. KR/52/3044) and N. Rajan (PF No. 4115) by the management of Manalaroo Estate, Nelliyampathy is justifiable? If not what relief they are entitled to?

G. O. (Rt.) No. 1903/2009/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 3rd December 2009.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Kannur Municipality, Kannur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Municipal Labour Union (AITUC), Rajeev Gandhi Road, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

- 1. Whether the claim for permanency and regularisation to Shri Ahamed Nazeer, Sanitation Worker w.e.f. 26-3-1993 and pay scale and benefits applicable to a permanent worker is justifiable or not?
- 2. If so what relief he is entitled to?

By order of the Governor,

G. Sivaprasad, Under Secretary to Government.